UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,416	09/16/2003	Bruce B. Randolph	CP34019	2714
	7590 02/05/200 INTERNATIONAL I	EXAMINER		
PATENT SERVICES			MCDONOUGH, JAMES E	
101 COLUMBIA DRIVE P O BOX 2245 MAIL STOP AB/2B			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/663,416	RANDOLPH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAMES E. MCDONOUGH	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Oc</u>	ctober 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 30-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	—					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						
1 apor 110(0), main batto						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 and 30-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bahar et al. (US 2001/0024755).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 30, and 33

Bahar teaches polymer composition that can consist of polymer and a metal salt or a compound such as trifluoromethane sulfonic acid (paragraphs 0058 and 0059), and since the reference teaches that the polymer contains the salt it would be expected to be able to hold it in place.

With regards to the claim limitation of "wherein said composition is in a reactor", it is noted that even the title of the reference "Solid Electrolyte Composite for Electrochemical REACTION APPARATUS", here given its broadest reasonable interpretation a "REACTION APPARATUS" would be a reactor, as it is a container holding agents that are reacting. Further it is noted that this limitation is not seen to limit the composition itself.

With regards to the limitation of the composition being pourable it is noted that 1.)

This is not seen to limit the composition in any way as anything is pourable at some scale 2.) The reference teaches that the pores of the membrane are filled with a

polymer electrolyte (paragraph 0018-00025), and the reference defines the polymer electrolyte as a polymer contain electrolyte such as trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (paragraphs 0057-0059), and one skilled in the art would expect that these composition would be pourable since they must be deposited within the pores of a membrane, absent any evidence to the contrary.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 31, and 32

Bahar teaches that the polymer can be polyacrylic acid (paragraph 0059).

Regarding claims 5, 6, 34, and 35

These claims only limit the composition when the acid component is selected from groups 4 or 5 from claims 1 or 30.

Regarding claims 7-9 and 36-38

Bahar teaches that the polymer porosity should be between 40 and 95 %, preferably 70 % (paragraph 042), and since the pores are filled with the acid component, this would read on the claims amount of acid component.

Response to Arguments

Applicants argue their amendment to claim 1 "wherein said composition is in a reactor", overcomes the rejection. This is not persuasive and, this limitation has been noted in the modified rejection above and is not persuasive for the reasons stated in the modified rejection.

Applicants argue their amendment to claim 30 "pourable", overcomes the rejection. This is not persuasive and, this limitation has been noted in the modified rejection above and is not persuasive for the reasons stated in the modified rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES E. MCDONOUGH whose telephone number is (571)272-6398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,416 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571)272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J.A. LORENGO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

JEM 1/29/2009